### LEWIS CENTER FOR EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH

17500 Mana Road, Apple Valley, CA 92307 (760) 946-5414 (760) 946-9193 fax

#### Agenda for Special Meeting of the LCER Board of Directors

#### Meeting at Lewis Center for Educational Research 17500 Mana Rd., Apple Valley, CA 92307 Gym Conference Room

#### September 11, 2017 - Public Meeting – 12:00 – 4:00 p.m.

#### 1. CALL TO ORDER: Chairman Biggs

- 2. <u>ROLL CALL</u>: Chairman Biggs
- **3.** <u>PUBLIC COMMENTS</u>: Members of the general public may address the Board during Public Comments or as items appearing on the agenda are considered. A time limit of three (3) minutes shall be observed. Those wishing to speak are invited to fill out a Request to Speak Card and give it to the Secretary.

#### 4. DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS ON STRATEGIC PLANNING:

- .01 Review/Update LCER Strategic Plan Mission, Vision and Values
- .02 Review/Update LCER Strategic Plan S.W.O.T. Analysis
- .03 Review/Update LCER Strategic Plan Existing Goals and Objectives
- .04 Identify any New Critical Issues and Goals and Objectives

#### 5. ADJOURNMENT: Chairman Biggs

Pursuant to the provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act, any person requiring special accommodations to participate in this meeting is asked to advise the agency at least 48 hours before the meeting by calling (760) 946-5414 x201.

# LEWIS CENTER FOR EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH

# **STRATEGIC PLAN** July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2021

The plan for our future

Adopted September 28, 2016

## **Table of Contents**

ntroduction	2
Nission	4
/ision	5
/alues	6
Goals	7
Objectives	8

# Appendices

Appendix A	14
Appendix B	17
Appendix C	18

# Lewis Center for Educational Research STRATEGIC PLAN The plan for our future

### Introduction

The Lewis Center for Educational Research (LCER) is in a transitional period in its life cycle. With the retirement of our charismatic, visionary founder, the Board of Directors and Executive Team determined that we are facing a challenging future. To plan for that future, and to assure that it is the future we want it to be, we decided to engage in strategic planning. Board members and senior administrators became the planning team. This team convened several times over a two month period in the spring of 2016, and spent many hours examining issues and concerns, and to share thoughts, ideas and suggestions on the future of LCER and its two charter schools. The results of those sessions are contained in this strategic plan.

### <u>Process</u>

In order to address immediate concerns, the planning team first held a five hour "strategic issues" session. Administrative staff presented update reports on issues identified at an earlier board retreat held in November, 2015. Staff asked for and received direction from the Board on most of these issues which are presented in Appendix A.

The strategic issues session was followed by a full day planning meeting. During the morning session, discussion continued on issues where, due to time constraints at the earlier meeting, direction was still desired by staff. The results of these discussions are presented in Appendix B.

The afternoon session was devoted to reviewing and modifying the LCER mission statement, developing a set of values, and completing a S.W.O.T. analysis. Statements to accompany the values were developed by a small volunteer task force who met a few days later. Those values and value statements are included in the body of the plan. The S.W.O.T. analysis is presented in Appendix C.

The third session was devoted to determining any remaining critical issues and developing strategic goals and objectives. Additionally, strategies for strategic management were discussed and responsibilities for developing and implementing action plans were assigned.

### <u>Our Plan</u>

Our plan charts a course that is bold yet attainable. Our vision calls for the Lewis Center and its schools to be nationally recognized as successful role models for others wishing to provide relevant education and unlimited opportunity to those they serve – as we do. The plan lays out how we, an operator of two uniquely different charter schools serving two distinctly different demographics, will align our efforts over the next years to achieve the goals our students, parents and communities have a right to expect and enjoy.

We recognize our responsibility and the leadership we have been entrusted to provide. We also recognize the value and impact of what becoming a model institution of our kind can mean. The stakes are high, but so is the opportunity when our vision is achieved.

# **MISSION** Why we exist

The mission of the Lewis Center for Educational Research is to ensure our schools and programs prepare students for success in a global society through datadriven, innovative and researchproven practices in a safe and inclusive culture.

# **VISION** What we want to be known for

The Lewis Center for Educational Research is nationally recognized as a leader in education for operating and supporting two unique TK-12 charter schools serving two vastly different demographic areas – the rural-suburban High Desert and the urban city of San Bernardino in San Bernardino County, California. Utilizing data-driven, innovative teaching methods while offering high quality educational programs, the schools are known for exceeding the needs of their students and communities.

The Lewis Center's focus on science and technology, starting with a unique, earlier partnership with NASA, has resulted in 95% graduation rates, high college-going rates, and high levels of success of its graduates in careers in medicine, business, military, and education. The Center's additional focus on bilingual, biliterate and multicultural education has enabled the expansion of its highly successful TK-8 dual immersion language academy to include Southern California's first dual immersion high school.

The Lewis Center's excellent reputation is in large part due to its highly qualified and enthusiastic faculty and staff who, with the support of engaged parents, community and Board members, translate an understanding of their students' abilities, interests and aspirations into pathways to success in college and/or their chosen careers. Partnerships with colleges, universities and businesses also contribute to helping students achieve at the highest academic levels and preparing them for living and working in a global society.

# VALUES Ethical priorities to guide our decision-making and our treatment of one another

**Integrity:** We strive to engender trust in our abilities by acting courageously and adhering to a strong moral compass.

**Excellence:** We aspire to excellence through supporting our community of learners in the practice of continuous innovation, collaboration and growth.

**Leadership:** Being forerunners, pace-setters and cultivators, we demonstrate strong leadership rooted in principles of integrity, accountability, respect and communication.

**Inclusiveness:** We will leverage our diverse and inclusive community to achieve superior result in the field of education.

# **GOALS** Goals to achieve our vision

# **Financial/Fiscal**

Goal One: Improve the financial condition of the Lewis Center for Educational Research, including key provisions for sustainability.

# <u>Norton</u>

Goal Two: Renew Norton's charter in December 2016 and continually strengthen the academic program.

# **Organizational Effectiveness**

Goal Three: The Lewis Center for Educational Research will be unified under a common vision, mission goals and objectives.

# **OBJECTIVES**

The outcomes we expect

Financial/Fiscal

Goal 1: Improve the financial condition of the LCER, including key provisions for sustainability.

1.1 <u>Objective:</u> By 2021, increase total revenue by 5% (1% annually) through increases in new, alternative revenue sources and/or by increasing revenue from current sources (enrollment). These revenue increases would be in addition to state COLA increases.

<u>Strategy:</u> Increase the amount of dollars raised through fundraising by reorganizing the Fundraising Committee and implementing new fundraising programs.

<u>Strategy:</u> Increase the amount of dollars raised from grants that meet LCER established parameters.

<u>Strategy:</u> Increase and stabilize total enrollment at Norton which will increase ADA.

<u>Strategy:</u> Clear, consistent marketing and branding will help communicate the LCER's mission to funders and the communities in which it serves.

1.2 <u>Objective:</u> By June 2017, the Board of Directors and staff will perceive the budget to be stable and understandable with progress toward sustainability.

<u>Strategy:</u> Develop a more defined and understandable budget process and timeline for Board and staff.

<u>Strategy:</u> Develop budgets implementing LCER guidelines and parameters that define stability and sustainability.

<u>Strategy:</u> Identify and communicate sources of additional yearly budgetary costs such as: retirement increases, healthcare increases, etc.

<u>Strategy:</u> Involve staff and the Finance Committee in the budget development process utilizing an itemized budget planning worksheet.

<u>Strategy:</u> Conduct annual budget workshops for the Board and staff. <u>Strategy:</u> Continue to enhance budget reports to assure clarity and the Board's understanding of the budget.

1.3 <u>Objective:</u> By June 2021, the LCER will have no less than two months of total payroll and costs in reserves (defined as unencumbered savings) based on current needs.

<u>Strategy:</u> Maintain conservative budgetary practices.

<u>Strategy:</u> Finance Committee and staff (those who manage a budget) will monitor current performance through monthly budgetary reporting.

<u>Strategy:</u> Conduct a cost benefit analysis of major programs.

<u>Strategy:</u> Decrease operating costs (i.e.: energy savings).

<u>Strategy:</u> Increase fund development efforts through the revised board structure.

1.4 <u>Objective:</u> Starting immediately, partnerships will be defined as initiatives that are substantially beneficial to the LCER and its students. Further, the partnerships must be aligned to the current LCER mission and goals and/or the schools' LCAPs.

<u>Strategy:</u> The Executive Team will research and evaluate all existing partnerships and report out to the Board of Directors.

<u>Strategy:</u> Future partnerships will be vetted by the Executive Team and be evaluated based upon their value added to the LCER. The impacted stakeholders will be involved in this process.

### Norton

# Goal: Renew Norton's charter in December 2016 and continually strengthen the academic program.

2.1 <u>Objective:</u> Renegotiate a mutually beneficial lease agreement with the City and County or secure an alternative campus on or before Fall 2017.

<u>Strategy</u>: Work with legal counsel and LCER Board of Directors to audit our existing lease and to seek resolution.

<u>Strategy:</u> Work with Highmark School Development Company to explore alternate campus locations that would meet the current and expanded needs of Norton TK-12.

2.2 <u>Objective:</u> By December 2016, the San Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools will approve Norton's Charter Renewal.

<u>Strategy</u>: Work closely with California Charter School Association (CCSA) through the Multiple Measures Review to ensure their continued support.

<u>Strategy:</u> Meet regularly with Angel Arrington from SBCSS throughout the renewal process.

<u>Strategy:</u> Involve Board of Directors and staff in all public board meetings with the County through the renewal process.

<u>Strategy:</u> Work with legal counsel for final review of the charter draft and throughout the renewal process.

2.3 <u>Objective</u>: By Spring 2017, increase student mastery as measured by CAASPP results, norm referenced assessments, student grades, attendance, and attrition.

<u>Strategy:</u> Review and evaluate the academic programs in place at Norton.

Strategy: Implement new ELA and SLA curriculum in grades TK-8.

<u>Strategy:</u> Continue intentional professional development for all instructional staff.

<u>Strategy:</u> Foster collaboration between the LCER schools in order to strengthen best instructional and assessment practices throughout the organization.

<u>Strategy:</u> Continue educational supports through the Rocket Lab, intervention programs and Rtl team.

<u>Strategy:</u> Implement an international collaboration based on engineering and applied sciences through GAVRT.

<u>Strategy:</u> Build out the secondary program to include electives, STEM and the arts.

2.4 <u>Objective</u>: Beginning in the Fall of 2019, the school will begin adding one high school grade level per academic year.

<u>Strategy:</u> Expand the middle school program to reflect the future high school program with a strong STEM focus.

<u>Strategy:</u> Solicit partnerships with local businesses and industry (JPL, Amazon, Tesla, etc.) that meet LCER defined parameters.

<u>Strategy</u>: Involve stakeholders and community in the high school development process.

<u>Strategy</u>: Build school leadership staffing and structure to support expansion.

2.5 <u>Objective:</u> By the end of the 2016-2017 school year, staff, student and parent perception will indicate a positive climate at Norton.

<u>Strategy:</u> Diagnose and implement programs to address low staff morale and turnover.

Strategy: Build relationship and unity amongst staff members.

### **Organizational Effectiveness**

Goal: The Lewis Center for Educational Research will be unified under a common vision, mission, goals and objectives.

3.1 <u>Objective:</u> Staff and the Board of Directors will continue to engage in ongoing open, honest and constructive communication.

<u>Strategy:</u> The Board of Directors, Executive Team and principals will continue to participate in annual strategic planning.

<u>Strategy:</u> The Executive Team will have ongoing communication with the officers and standing committee chairs regarding critical issues.

<u>Strategy:</u> The President/CEO and LCER Board Chairperson will meet regularly to communicate as issues arise.

3.2 <u>Objective</u>: Throughout the 2016-2017 school year, the Executive Team, as supported by the Board of Directors, will intentionally build a positive climate throughout the organization.

<u>Strategy:</u> The Board of Directors will support the climate at our schools and programs through heightened visibility at both schools.

<u>Strategy:</u> The Board of Directors will highlight the strengths of our schools and programs throughout our communities. These strengths will be

shared by staff at the monthly committee meetings and quarterly Foundation Board meetings.

<u>Strategy:</u> The Executive Team will communicate the support of the Board of Directors to all staff on an ongoing basis and will highlight noteworthy dedication and contributions.

<u>Strategy:</u> The Board of Directors and staff will partner together to achieve the vision, mission and goals set forth in annual strategic planning. The Board of Directors will focus on the strategic aspects of the plan, while the staff will implement the operational aspects. Clearly understanding these roles will positively impact the overall climate of the organization.

3.3 <u>Objective:</u> The Board of Directors will actively participate in the establishment and review of LCER policies.

<u>Strategy:</u> The staff will work with the standing committees and school boards to create and/or revise policies to stay in legal compliance. These policies will be presented as needed at the quarterly Foundation Board Meetings for approval.

<u>Strategy:</u> The staff will continue to be involved in professional networks (i.e. CCSA, SBCSS, AVUSD, CDE, CASBO, etc.) in order to stay apprised of current legislation and educational reform.

Lewis Center for Educational Research STRATEGIC PLAN			
APPENDIX A			
Summary of Issues Discussed at February 25, 2016 Session (More Detail in Board Minutes)			
lssues	Board's Concerns/Direction		
<u>Finances</u>	<ol> <li>Policies and procedures need revision.</li> <li>Some new policies and procedures need to be written.</li> <li>Restructuring of debt need to be completed.</li> <li>Tetra contract should be reviewed by legal counsel.</li> <li>Concern that staff is not on top of these issues</li> </ol>		
<u>Staffing</u>	<ol> <li>Grant writer position/person needs review.</li> <li>Do we need a PR/Community relations position? Can we afford it?</li> <li>Consulting contracts/position/expenditures need review.</li> <li>Concern that staff is not on top of these issues</li> </ol>		
<u>Parity</u>	<ol> <li>What is the cost of offering step or stipend for hard-to- fill positions such as BCLAD. Can we afford it? Stacy was given direction to cost out this option.</li> <li>Stacy was also given direction to cost out other options such as help with repaying student loans, improving leave and benefit package, absorbing health care cost increases, adding additional years to the salary schedule. Stacy to report costs with recommendations to Finance Committee. Finance Committee to bring recommendations to the full Board.</li> <li>Recruiting teachers is difficult due to salary schedule. Board member suggestion: what if we offered to pay for</li> </ol>		

the last year or two of college in exchange for a multiyear commitment to LCER?

### <u>Revenues</u> opportunities

1. Board directed staff to pursue offering one TK class at each school starting fall 2016.

2. Board agreed with staff recommendation to try to fill grade levels, thus increasing ADA.

3. Staff floated several other ideas for increasing revenues. The three that the Board seemed most interested in were cell tower, selling surplus land, and fundraising.

# <u>Norton</u>

 Difficulty in filling upper grades because students must be bilingual and biliterate. Enrollment in upper grades will increase as students in lower grades move up.
 Low test scores – student must answer questions by writing in English – no exceptions for English learners. No similar schools to use for comparison in California. This is a concern for charter renewal.

3. Lease – needs to be renegotiated. Who is responsible? Current lease requires LCER to provide facilities for Head Start – current requirement for a new parking lot.
4. Relocation/expansion – CSUSB not an option. Could expand on current property. Could apply for Prop 39 and/or SB 740 funds. Who's responsible?

# Lewis Center for Educational Research STRATEGIC PLAN **APPENDIX B** Summary of Issues Discussed at February 29, 2016 Session (More Detail in Board Minutes) **Board's Concerns/Direction** lssue Use of Thunderbird 1. Board straw vote provided direction to Gordon to pursue after-school, joint program with AVUSD; keep Board informed of progress. 2. Board expressed desire to explore option of selling TBC building, but only with consideration for improvements made by LCER. Can we sell if we don't own the land? Do we need AVUSD's approval to sell? Who's responsible for doing this? Norton 1. Board decided to have charter renewed as a TK-12 school; strengthen TK-8 program while high school being developed; explore alternative models for high school; to be implemented by next charter renewal; bring plan and timeline for implementation with cost analysis for both the high school and the planning effort to the Board; put timeline in the current charter renewal application; should someone be hired to lead the planning effort? 2. Board agreed NSAA's name needs to be changed to reflect what is actually happening. The School Board committee, staff and parents should discuss and recommend name change to the full Board. Some suggested name changes included: • Norton Language and Science Academy Norton Science and Language Academy

	<ul> <li>Norton Academy</li> <li>Norton Academy for Academic Excellence</li> <li>Should Norton have an English Only strand for 5-</li> <li>NSAA School Board committee and staff should bring recommendation to the full Board along with implementation plan and cost analysis if recommendation is "yes."</li> </ul>
Organizational issue Should LCER change its name?	—
Should there be more emphasis on fundraising?	Board consensus was "yes," and to explore the option of pulling the Fundraising Committee from the Board and make it a separate fundraising foundation. Who will be responsible for this?

# APPENDIX C

# S.W.O.T. ANALYSIS

At the second planning session, planning team members engaged in a "mini" environmental analysis by brainstorming the organization's strengths and weaknesses (internal) and opportunities and threats (external). Their determination of strengths that might be enhanced and weaknesses that might be corrected, along with opportunities and threats presented by the external environment, helped guide them when deciding what critical issues must be addressed. Their brainstormed S.W.O.T. follows:

### <u>Strengths</u>

- Highly dedicated staff
- Parent support
- Engaged students
- Motivated Board
- Outside the box thinking
- Culture
- Safe environment
- Small class sizes
- Caring staff
- Private school environment
- Less bureaucracy
- Passionate about learning
- Tight community feel
- Non-union

- Successful K-12 program
- Technology rich
- Partnerships
- Enrichment programs
- Well-balanced education
- Parents that care
- Facilities
- Locations
- Relationships with chartering agencies
- Relationships with other agencies
- Pride
- GAVRT, Bridge, Local Outreach, HiDas,
- Successful alumni
- AFROTC
- Political connections
- Media connections
- Bi-literacy
- Chinese partnerships
- Board connections

## <u>Weaknesses</u>

- Lack of planning
- GAVRT
- Lack of transparency
- Communication
- Facilities & Norton
- Lack of marketing
- Detailed budget planning
- No football team
- Weak in developing new partnerships
- Teacher turnover at NSAA
- Relationships between board & exec team
- Student attrition

- Fund development
- Low salaries
- No multi-year budget
- Lack of capital investment
- Current policies
- Lack of leadership transition planning
- Lack of stakeholder involvement
- Tracking alumni
- NSAA treated like stepchild
- Lack of proactive recruitment of teachers
- Lack of student recruitment
- Broken promises
- Weak Board
- Uninformed Board
- Lack of Board participation at Festival of Arts at AAE

# **Opportunities**

- Business partnerships
- University partnerships
- Developing real estate at AAE & NSAA
- STEM partnerships
- Fund development
- Relationship with alliance for education
- Internships
- Political relationships
- Alumni
- Low interest rates, rising economy
- Partnership with community service agencies
- 740 program & Prop 39

## <u>Threats</u>

- Other salary schedules elsewhere
- Competing dual immersion programs

- Shortage of teachers
- Uncertain revenues
- Common core vs. the unknown
- County/city involvement in our lease
- Anti-charter movement
- Unionization
- Teacher training institutions have bad impression of charter schools
- More legislation coming
- Needing more legal advice due to regulations
- Security
- LCAPS more regulated
- Charter renewals
- Desert Trails controversy